



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED
FROM DIRECTORS OFFICE

JAN 21 2005

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Stratton Ballew
213 S. 12th Avenue
Yakima, WA 98902

In re application of	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Dennis L. Franz	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL
Application No. 10/666,800	:	(ENERGY)
Filed: September 18, 2003	:	
For: COULOMB FORCE NEUTRALIZED	:	
FUSION REACTOR	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed December 20, 2004 under 37 CFR 1.102 (c) to make the above-identified application special under the procedure set forth in MPEP 708.02, Section VI: Energy.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 C.F.R. 1.102 (c), MPEP 708.02, Section VI for an invention which materially contributes to (A) the discovery or development of energy resources, or (B) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources must be accompanied by statements under 37 CFR 1.102 by applicants or their attorney explaining how the invention materially contributes to category (A) or (B) set forth above.

Applicant states that "the fusion reactor of the above listed invention has a multiple of fuel rings that spin in a spiral form. The fusion reactor produces a sustainable, controlled fusion reaction producing more energy than it uses. The reactor employs a system of resonant magnetic fields that control the direction of the fuel particles' momentum and polarity, and neutralizes the interactive forces of the fuel particles linear Coulomb repulsions. The rotating ring has a geometric rate of radius reduction for ring stability and efficient fusion reaction. Preferably, a stream of lithium nuclei are utilized as fuel. In merging lithium nuclei within the controlled spiral of a resonant magnetic field, positive alpha charges are produced. These high-energy alpha charges are then directed into a generator for the purpose of pumping electrons to produce electricity."

For the above stated reasons, the petition is **GRANTED**.

The examiner is directed (1) to make an interference search for possible interfering applications; (2) to promptly examine this application out of turn; and (3) if any interfering application is discovered, to examine such application simultaneously and state in the first official letter of such application that it is being taken out of turn because of a possible interference.

Petitioner is advised that this application will continue to be special, throughout its entire prosecution and pendency, including interference and appeal, if any, only if petitioner makes a prompt *bona fide* effort, in response to each Office action, to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to conduct an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.



Steven N. Meyers
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3600
(703) 308-3868

SNM/jwk: 1/4/05